UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,282	04/18/2007	Giovanni Modica	20679-00225-US1	5691
30678 7590 12/02/2009 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			EXAMINER	
1875 EYE STR SUITE 1100	EET, N.W.	SMITH, JENNIFER A		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/589,282	MODICA, GIOVANNI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		JENNIFER A. SMITH	1793			
The MAILING D. Period for Reply	ATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STAT WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be av after SIX (6) MONTHS from t - If NO period for reply is speci - Failure to reply within the set	GER, FROM THE MAILING Described allable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The mailing date of this communication. If the dabove, the maximum statutory period or extended period for reply will, by statutice later than three months after the mailing	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE ing date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed on <u>11 A</u>	<u>August 2006</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is FII	∖AL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
<i>'</i> — · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accord	ance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) i 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>2-20</u> is/	rejected.	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) fi Applicant may not Replacement drav	request that any objection to the	er. : a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected in abeyance. See the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is object. Examiner. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		_				
Notice of References Cited Notice of Draftsperson's P Information Disclosure Sta Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/1	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/589,282 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application

Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Foreign Priority Claimed

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 08/11/2006 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

Claims 4, 9, and 10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 1. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the heavy plastics" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the washing solution" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the granular plastics" and "the think plastics" in line

10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The closest prior art on record – "Ferracin et al." – teaches a method for recovering lead from spent lead acid batteries. It mentions the presence of silica and plastics in the sludge but does not teach or suggest the process as claimed to recover the silica material.

Conclusion

Claim 1 is rejected.

Claims 2-20 are object to.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JENNIFER A. SMITH whose telephone number is (571)270-3599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30am to 6:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorgengo can be reached on (571)272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jennifer A. Smith November 20, 2009 Art Unit 1793

JS

/Stanley Silverman/

Application/Control Number: 10/589,282

Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793